

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,337	01/22/2002	Craig D. Johnson	68.0233	4747	
7	7590 01/13/2003				
Schlumberger Technology Corporation Schlumberger Reservoir Completions 14910 Airline Road			EXAMINER		
			WALKER, ZAKIYA NICOLE		
P.O. Box 1590 Rosharon, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-			3672	6	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	/ /				
		10/054,337	JOHNSON ET AL.					
0	ffic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Zakiya N. Walker	3672	\downarrow				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE f this communication oly	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s				
THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to reply recommended.	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory property within the set or extended period for reply will, by selived by the Office later than three months after the ritterm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status								
<u> </u>	ponsive to communication(s) filed on							
/	,	This action is non-final.						
	ee this application is in condition for all ed in accordance with the practice un Claims			erits is				
·	n(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	ation.						
•—	f the above claim(s) is/are with							
	n(s) is/are allowed.		•					
,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
·	n(s) is/are objected to.	•						
	n(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		v				
Application Pa	•	•						
9)∐ The s	pecification is objected to by the Exar	miner.						
10) <u></u> The d	rawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
Арр	licant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The o	ath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Ackr	owledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)∐ All	b) Some * c) None of:							
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. <u>□</u> * See th	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa e attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	е				
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
	he translation of the foreign language wledgment is made of a claim for dor	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		·				
Attachment(s)	•	, ,						
2) Notice of Dr	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152					

Application/Control Number: 10/054,337

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention. 1.
- Claims 8 and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter 2.
- Claim 8 recites the limitation "the rods" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent which applicant regards as the invention. basis for this limitation in the claim. Only one rod was set forth in the parent claim.
- Claims 10 and 20 recite the limitation "the openings" in line 6 (of both claims). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The term should be replaced with --the at lest one opening--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public (b) the invention was parented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
- Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sparlin et 6.

al.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,337

Art Unit: 3672

Sparlin et al. discloses a well screen that includes a base pipe 2 having at least one hole 2a, a filter 5, a plurality of splines 6, and a rod 3. The reference further teaches various numbers and sizes of holes, the rods adjustably placed, and the filter itself acts as an erosion inhibitor by preventing harsh downhole elements from eroding the pipe.

7. Claims 10-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cornette et al.

Cornette et al. discloses a screen that includes a base pipe 40 having a plurality of holes, and a sleeve 41 having at least one opening. The reference further teaches various numbers and sizes of holes, the sleeve adjustably placed, and a filter 21 acts as an erosion inhibitor by preventing harsh downhole elements from eroding the pipe.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 3672

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cornette et al. in view of Castano-Mears et al.

Cornette et al. discloses a screen as stated above. However, the reference fails to teach longitudinal slots as called for in the claimed combination.

Castano-Mears et al. teaches a well screen that includes longitudinal slots 56 for the purpose of enhancing torsional and tensile strength of the pipe.

It would have been considered obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to have provided the screen of Cornette et al. with longitudinal slots in view of Castano-Mears et al. in order to enhance the base pipe strength.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zakiya N. Walker whose telephone number is (703) 305-0302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30 AM-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3597 for regular communications and (703) 746-3826 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3672

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Zakiya N. Walker

Examiner Art Unit 3672

zw January 8, 2003